

Right-wing Catholic media— gatekeepers for masonic anti-Catholic hate industry

Posted on [December 9, 2018](#)



By Jude Duffy

Dec. 9, 2018 Anno Domini

In 2007, Cardinal George of Chicago responded to calls from organised Jewry for the Catholic Church to abandon its prayer for the conversion of the Jews, by suggesting that the Jews themselves might consider removing anti-Christian passages from the Talmud (I). A short time later, Cardinal George found himself accused of "covering up" sexual abuse in his diocese. As coincidences go, this is right up there with former British

Foreign Secretary Robin Cook dying suddenly weeks after writing in the *Guardian* that "Al Qaeda" was just another name for the CIA's Arab assets (2).

Predictably, right-wing traditional Catholics saw nothing fishy about the timing of the accusations against Cardinal George. Traditional Catholics, you see, are avid tinfoil hat coincidence theorists, and never see anything fishy about media targeting of Catholics. Like these media, they long ago abandoned the very traditionally Catholic principle of innocent until proved guilty, and are more than happy to play the role of Judas goats leading committed Catholics to the New World Order slaughter.

But hang on, I hear you say, surely the traditional Catholics are right to blast the Church over its cover-ups of heinous crimes? Well, yes, when the crimes have been proven in a court of law – not, as in the case of the recent Pennsylvania hysteria – when most of the cases have never been tried at all.

There's an absurd contradiction at the heart of traditionalist denunciations of bishops and the "Catholic establishment" over the clerical scandals: in many of the cases they condemn the bishops for "covering up", it is the bishops and their bureaucrats who have summarily found priests – living and deceased – guilty, without a proper investigation – much less a trial – ever having taken place. Thus, on the one hand, the trad Catholics unquestioningly accept the utter nonsense of men and women who have no authority or expertise whatsoever to adjudicate on criminal matters, pronouncing the guilt of priests who have never been tried in a court of law. Then the same trads hysterically chimp out about the bishops and bureaucrats "covering up" the crimes of priests – when the only authority they have to substantiate these priests' guilt is the word of this same Catholic bureaucracy.

So which is it? Are the bishops and Catholic bureaucrats corrupt enablers or not? And if they are corrupt enablers, why do trads take their completely unqualified pronouncements of priests' criminal guilt as the legal equivalent of holy writ?

It's safe to say that most folk, including most Catholics, know nothing, and couldn't care less about the "Catholic traditionalist movement". But that doesn't stop this "movement" exerting a subtle, defeatist influence on the wider Catholic culture. Indeed it's not going too far to say that if the Catholic trad movement didn't exist, the globalists would have had to invent it. Then again perhaps they did.

Take Malachi Martin, a great hero to Catholic traditionalists in the 1980s and 1990s. An Irish Jesuit, Martin wrote a series of books decrying the modernist takeover of contemporary Catholicism. Surprisingly, given their radical right-wing conspiracist content, these books always found mainstream corporate publishers.

Maybe though it wasn't such a surprise after all. Though he modestly kept it to himself throughout his latter day career as a super-trad Catholic, Martin, knew the anti-Catholic conspiracy from the inside. According to Robert Keiser, *Time* magazine's correspondent at the Vatican Council in the early 1960s, Martin received large sums of money from the American Jewish Committee to use his influence to get the Council to alter Catholic teaching on the Jews – teachings which up to that point had held the Jews (the religion, not the race) culpable for Christ's crucifixion. Keiser also alleged that Martin seduced Keiser's wife, using the funds he received from the AJC (3) .

Martin still has his defenders within Catholic traditionalism, but no one seriously disputes that during the Council, writing under the name 'Michael Serafian', he laid the blame for historical anti-semitism directly at the door of the Catholic Church – thus echoing the anti-Catholic discourse of the Judeo-Masonic establishment of B'nai Brith and its offshoot, the ADL (4).

In the 1970s and 1980s, Martin re-invented himself as a fierce traditionalist Catholic critic of post Vatican II liberalism in the Church, but even in this role, he often hinted at distinctly un-Catholic facets to his character. The Canadian Catholic traditionalist, Bernard Janzen, conducted a series of taped interviews with Martin in the mid 1990s, in one of which Martin claimed to be personally acquainted with elite New York Satanists, whom he extolled as highly respectable men "whose word was their bond" (see 'The Deep Descent': Malachi Martin interviewed by Bernard Janzen: Triumph Communications). Maybe Martin was indulging in Mitty-esque fantasies, or maybe he really did hobnob with Satanists, but either way his statements should have led Catholic trads to treat his narratives about the Church and the world with caution.

Not a bit of it. Far from shunning Martin, trads exalted him to the status of a modern prophet – the more lurid his tales of Satanism and rampant homosexuality in the higher echelons of the Church the more they lapped it up.

What was Martin's game? Surely a Zionist/Masonic anti-Catholic agent in the Church would espouse liberal modernism rather than traditionalism? Not necessarily. In truth, the Church's enemies viewed the capture of the conservative and traditionalist wings of the Church as the grandest prize of them all, since they knew that many practicing Catholics saw liberal-left Catholics as CINOs – Catholics in name only. A Judas goat can't lead the lambs to the slaughter if they know he's a Judas goat. Just as crypto-Trotskyist Neocons took over the right-wing political parties of most western nations by posing as hardline anti-communists, Neocon Catholics took over both the conservative and traditionalist wings of the Catholic Church by posing as old-school anti-modernists.

Hence in the 1990s, Zionist oligarchs bought up conservative Catholic publications such as *Crisis in Catholicism* (renamed '*Crisis*' at the oligarchs' insistence), and turned them into Neocon propaganda journals (5). In 2005, the former editor of the Catholic *New*

Oxford Review, Dale Vree, revealed that a Jewish businessman had offered to fund his publication on condition that it promoted Neocon foreign policy and neo-liberal economics (6). Even in Ireland, the right-wing Catholic magazine, *The Brandsma Review*, mixed articles promoting the Latin Mass and conservative social policies with arguments in favour of mass immigration, support for the Iraq War, sanctions on Iran, and Israel's 2006 invasion of Lebanon. By the early '90s, so called conservative Catholic journalism had become Neocon occupied territory.

By the same token, EWTN, the Catholic religious TV network, has close ties to Freemasonry via the Knights of Columbus (7) – ties reflected in the station's *de facto* ban on any criticism of either Protestantism or Masonry.

The Neocon Catholics and the *Remnant* style trades had their differences – mostly over Pope John Paul II (the Neocons loved him, the trades were less than keen) but tellingly the one thing they always agreed on was that dissent from the media's version of the Catholic clerical sex abuse scandals was forbidden.

Indeed if anything defines the modern right-wing Catholic media, it is the unswerving principle that Catholics must never even imply that Zio-media coverage of the scandals is in any way anti-Catholic or disproportionate. How very fortuitous for those who hate the Church, to have the most ostensibly hardcore Catholics of them all singing from the same Masonic anti-Catholic songbook as the Southern Poverty Law Centre and the *New York Times*. What greater example of full spectrum dominance could one find in the modern world?

Significantly, in recent years the trades and the Catholic neocons have drawn much closer together. Thus, Austin Ruse, a Catholic convert and arch-Neocon, who once called the Zionist war criminal George W. Bush, "America's first Catholic President" (8), recently expressed strong support for traditionalist attacks on the Catholic hierarchy.

Another raving Neocon, Elizabeth Yore, writes hysterical tirades attacking the Church over the clerical scandals for traditionalist Catholic outlets like *The Remnant*, and regularly appears in similar Catholic bashing vein on EWTN's current affairs show "The World Over", hosted by Raymond Arroyo. Yore, it should be noted, boasts of being a former "children's advisor" to pro-abortion New Ager Oprah Winfrey, and used to work for the UN – an organisation not renowned for a blameless record when it comes to the exploitation of children.

Like Yore, Raymond Arroyo – in the company of his fellow Neocons Fr Gerard Murray and Robert Royal – habitually denounces the Church over the clerical scandals, but slavers like the proverbial lovesick puppy in the presence of trashy Hollywood celebs – which, if nothing else, indicates that his outrage over institutional tolerance of sexual abuse is rather selective. An unabashed Israel Firster, he has also outspokenly

defended British and U.S. torture of War on Terror prisoners, and vitriolically denounced the Obama administration's lifting of sanctions on Iran.

As with their Neocon political counterparts, the pioneers of Neocon Catholicism often turn out to have distinctly left-wing pasts: The late Fr. Richard John Neuhaus, a convert from Lutheranism, and advisor to war criminal George W Bush, was a left-wing activist in the 1960s, while the late Michael Novak, another EWTN favourite, led the American liberal left Catholic dissent against *Humanae Vitae*, Pope Paul's 1968 encyclical condemning contraception.

EWTN these days spends much of its time urging Catholics to masochistically cheer on the secular media's anti-Catholic hate campaign, but no one on the network ever mentions, much less condemns the MSM's longstanding cover-up of paedophile rings in the secular establishment and Hollywood, just as no one queries why these corporate outlets suppress all news about sexual abuse among rabbis and clerics of mainline Masonic Protestant denominations.

When the British police stated that accusations of sexual abuse against late former British Prime Minister Ted Heath and other U.K. political big-wigs were highly credible, the same media that portray every accusation against Catholic clerics as self-evidently true ferociously attacked Heath's accusers as paranoid fantasists. Quite incredibly some right-wing "Catholic" outlets joined in the smear campaign (9).

Anyone who believes sexual abuse is a predominantly Catholic problem lives on Planet Zog. In his memoir of his childhood, 'Surprised By Joy', C.S. Lewis recalled that at the English Protestant boarding school he attended in the early 1900s, sodomy of pre-pubescent boys by adult males was institutionalised – and the chief topic of conversation in the school (10). After his death, Lewis's brother, Warnie, who attended the same school, posthumously rebuked C.S. for blackening their school's reputation – not because he denied that sodomy of children described by C.S Lewis went on there, but rather because he had discovered in conversations with officer colleagues in the British army, that most British boarding schools were much of a muchness in this regard (11) .

Don't, however, hold your breath waiting for the MSM – or indeed the curiously philo-Protestant Catholic right – to call for inquiries into historical sexual abuse at Anglo-Protestant schools or institutions.

As for the trads themselves, they have shown themselves to be very quick learners when it comes to regurgitating the Neocon anti-Catholic script. Not so long ago *The Remnant* regularly published pieces championing the writings of Fr. Denis Fahey and Fr. Edward Cahill, two 20th century priests famous for their critiques of Judeo-Masonry and Anglo-Protestant Masonry. Even though both men insisted that racial hatred against Jews was gravely sinful, and that ordinary Jews were themselves victims of the

machinations of Judeo-Masonry, the modern liberal elite have long excoriated these priests as raving anti-semites.

In 2007, the Southern Poverty Law Centre put *The Remnant* on a hate map of the US (12), after which *The Remnant* never mentioned Fr Fahey and Fr Cahill again, and its editor Michael Matt had a \$20,000 security system installed in his home to protect against attacks from Antifa. A prudent precaution on his part no doubt, but notice how the trads – with just cause – fear the thuggery of the rabidly anti-Catholic far left, but yet actively collaborate with these thugs and their globalist sponsors to reduce the Catholic Church's name to mud. If that isn't religious Stockholm Syndrome, what is?

A couple of years after the SPLC debacle, Matt and his lawyer sidekick Christopher Ferrara both wrote articles excluding those who had any truck with "wacky conspiracy theories" about things like 9/11 and the JFK' murder, from membership of "the traditionalist movement" (13) – thus formalising Catholic trads' role as right-wing gatekeepers for the anti-Catholic Zio-establishment. Apparently, anti-Catholic conspiracy theories are the only conspiracy theories trads will tolerate these days.

Not to be outdone, the once very radical traditionalist critic of modern globalism, Robert Sungenis, deleted all conspiracy and anti-Zionist content from his site a few years ago, in response to pressure from Zionists; In an exchange with Dr. E. Michael Jones in *Culture Wars* magazine, he argued that given the scandalous behaviour of Catholic clerics in recent times, Catholics no longer had any right to point the finger at Jewish tribalists or other non-Catholics over the state of the world (14).

How very convenient: if you can't beat the globalists, join them in bashing the Church. After all, unlike the globalists, the Church doesn't lock you up for hate crimes and doesn't send Antifa goons to hurl bags of urine and HIV infected shit at you. To paraphrase Stalin, how many divisions of masked thugs armed with mace and diy flame-throwers does the Pope have?

It's one thing to refrain from telling the whole truth for reasons of worldly prudence—a stance which may or may not be justified depending on circumstances—but quite another to side with the sworn enemies of the Church as a form of displacement activity.

The Remnant regularly bans contributors from its forum's comments who take issue with the unrelenting psychic driving media hate campaign against the Catholic Church over the clerical scandals but happily publishes on the same forums the most lurid anti-Catholic smears imaginable – stuff that makes 19th century crazed Papist bashing of the Masonic Know Nothings and the Ku Klux Klan seem mild by comparison. But once again, the robot-like trad punters lap it up – gaslighted as they are to believe that the

authenticity of one's Catholic traditionalism is measured by how relentlessly one hammers the post-conciliar Catholic Church.

As the Welsh Protestant academic Philip Jenkins noted in his book 'Paedophiles And Priests', 19th Century crypto-Masonic sects such as the Know Nothings, the Klan, the Orange Order, and the American Protective Association, sought to portray the Catholic Church as a den of perverts in much the same way as the media and liberal establishment do today (15); the crucial difference these days being that Catholics on left and right noisily collaborate in this relentless black propaganda war against their own religion.

The trads and the Neocon Catholics endlessly whine about the ghastliness of the Francis papacy (if papacy it be) but it never seems to occur to them that their own adolescent scorched earth approach to "cleansing the Church" might be to blame for the weakness of modern popes and bishops. After all, why should any pope or any prelate fight the Zio-globalists when they know for a certain fact that to do so will place them in the firing line of the MI5/Mossad hatchet men – folk who have an impressive facility to conjure from nowhere scandals implicating enemies of the NWO? They also know for a certain fact that when this happens, the armchair counter-revolutionaries of the trad movement will be the first to throw them under the bus, à la Cardinal George.

Much of the old-school traditionalist critique of the modern Church – as articulated by the late Archbishop Lefebvre and lay activists such as the late Hamish Fraser – had great merit. The problem is that today's generation of Catholic trads often behave like half-baked teenage punks, yearning for the modern Church to be destroyed in pursuit of some juvenile idea of "purification". They never get around to explaining how this much smaller "purified" Church they claim to be fighting for will escape the same relentless secular onslaught that is laying waste to great swathes of the Catholic world right now. After all, their own less-than-heroic antics today don't fill one with optimism that they will be a match for the forces of the NWO.

Another late great Catholic traditionalist writer, Solange Hertz, once observed that modern Catholic traditionalists tend to suffer from a virulent form of lay clericalism, devoting most of their energies to issues they have no authority to resolve – such as Church governance – while declining to engage with their true province – secular politics. In other words, trads don't know how to mind their own business.

Alternative types may not like hearing it, but it is far from happenstance that the massive intensification of the cultural revolution in the last two decades has coincided with the huge loss of authority the Catholic Church has suffered on foot of the abuse scandals. Everything, from the rise of transgenderism to Neocon wars to immigrant invasions of the West can be traced back to this spiritual and cultural calamity. Like it or not, the Catholic Church is the locus of moral authority in the world.

Maybe, therefore, it is time both the trads and David Icke style Catholic bashers tried for once to honestly answer the key question in all of this: why, if the Church is such a corrupt and powerful arm of the establishment, do the globalists devote so much of their energy to seeking to destroy its standing?